



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 02/14/2002

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/453,153	12/02/1999	TAKASHI TOMOEDA	13220	2227	
7	2590 02/14/2002				
PAUL J ESATTO JR SCULLY SCOTT MURPHY & PRESSER 400 GARDEN CITY PLAZA			EXAMINER		
			OPSASNICK, MICHAEL N		
GARDEN CIT	Y, NY 11530		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2654		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			/wX				
3	Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	09/453,153		TOMOEDA, TAKASHI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
	Michael N. C	·	2654				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status		00					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 L</u>							
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from cons	ideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7,9 and 10</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election req	uirement.					
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accept	oted or b)☐ ob	ejected to by the Exa	miner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be	e held in abeyance. So	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)∏ app	roved b) disappro	ved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)	priority arro	33 .20					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3	5	Notice of Informal I	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 09/453,153 Page 2

Art Unit: 2654

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns,"

"The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Allowable Subject Matter

2. Claims 1-7,9,10 are allowable over the prior art of record.

Art Unit: 2654

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bahl et al (5615299).

As per claim 8, Bahl et al (5615299) teaches:

"storing speech part information.....dictionary therein" as storing speech information in a baseform dictionary in a node structure (col. 8 lines 48-64)

"referring to connection rules.....should be expanded" as using discriminate matrix to determine class (context), (col. 1 line 35 – col. 2 line 10)

"expanding the hypothesis....does not connect to the context" as expanding the hypothesis (col. 8 line 48 – col. 9 line 9).

Application/Control Number: 09/453,153

Art Unit: 2654

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Please see attached PTO-892 form for related art.

6. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872 9314,

(for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT") Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Opsasnick, telephone number (703)305-4089, who is available Tuesday-Thursday, 9AM-4PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ms. Marsha Banks-Harold, can be reached at (703)305-4379. The facsimile phone number for this group is (703)872-9314.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group 2600 receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4750, the 2600 Customer Service telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

mno 2/3/02

Vijay Rhaur